

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ, agency, or commission) proposes amendments to §293.11 and §293.44.

### **Background and Summary of the Factual Basis for the Proposed Rules**

The Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUC) Sunset Legislation, House Bill (HB) 1600 and Senate Bill (SB) 567 passed by the 83rd Texas Legislature, 2013, transferred from the TCEQ to the PUC the functions relating to the economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities effective September 1, 2014.

Concurrent with this proposal, and published in this issue of the *Texas Register*, the commission is proposing revisions to 30 TAC Chapter 35, Emergency and Temporary Orders and Permits; Temporary Suspension or Amendment of Permit Conditions; Chapter 37, Financial Assurance; Chapter 50, Action on Applications and Other Authorizations; Chapter 55, Requests for Reconsideration and Contested Case Hearings; Public Comment; Chapter 80, Contested Case Hearings; Chapter 281, Applications Processing; Chapter 290, Public Drinking Water; and Chapter 291, Utility Regulations.

### **Section by Section Discussion**

In addition to the proposed revisions associated with this rulemaking, the proposed rulemaking also includes various stylistic, non-substantive changes to update rule language to current *Texas Register* style and format requirements. Such changes included appropriate and consistent use of acronyms, section references, rule structure, and certain terminology. Where paragraphs are proposed for removal, subsequent paragraphs

are renumbered, accordingly. These changes are non-substantive and generally not specifically discussed in this preamble.

*§293.11, Information Required to Accompany Applications for Creation of Districts*

The commission proposes to amend §293.11(h) to remove paragraph (11), because the language pertains to functions that were transferred from the commission to PUC in HB 1600 and SB 567.

*§293.44, Special Considerations*

The commission proposes to amend §293.44(b)(7) to remove the reference to Chapter 291, Subchapter G, which pertains to functions that were transferred from the commission to PUC in HB 1600 and SB 567.

**Fiscal Note: Cost to State and Local Government**

Jené Bearse, Analyst in the Budget and Planning Division, determined that for the first five-year period the proposed rules are in effect, no fiscal implications are anticipated for the agency or for other units of state or local government as a result of administration or enforcement of the proposed rules.

The rulemaking is proposed in order to modify rules for a program transferred to the PUC through the passage of HB 1600 and SB 567. Effective September 1, 2014, HB 1600 and SB 567 transferred the responsibility for regulating water and wastewater rates, services, and certificates of convenience and necessity from the commission to the PUC.

The proposed amendments to §293.11 and §293.44 modify rules that are no longer applicable to the commission as a result of the transfer of the responsibility for the economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities to the PUC.

Staff, fees, and functions relating to the economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities were transferred from the TCEQ to the PUC in Fiscal Year 2015. The agency transferred \$1,429,818 out of Water Resource Management Account Number 153 funds and 20.0 full-time employees (FTEs) to the PUC. In addition, there was also a transfer of \$184,000 to the PUC to cover the cost of the contract with the State Office of Administrative Hearings for water and wastewater utility contested case hearings. The Office of Public Utility Counsel was appropriated \$499,680 in Water Resource Management Account Number 153 funds and 5.0 FTEs in Fiscal Year 2015 to represent water and wastewater utility customers as provided by the provisions of HB 1600 and SB 567.

For Fiscal Year 2016, the legislature increased the appropriation to the PUC and the Office of Public Utility Counsel; the total cost to the Water Resource Management Account Number 153 for Fiscal Year 2016 and 2017 was \$3,567,824 and \$3,567,824, respectively. The total cost to the Water Resource Management Account Number 153 for Fiscal Year 2018 was \$3,470,453.

Since the transfer of the administration and regulation of water and wastewater rates, services, and certificates of convenience and necessity has already taken place, there are

no fiscal implications anticipated for the agency, PUC, or for other units of state or local government as a result of the implementation or administration of the proposed rules.

### **Public Benefit and Costs**

Ms. Bearse also determined that for each year of the first five years the proposed rules are in effect, the public benefit anticipated from the changes seen in the proposed rules will be compliance with state law and clear rules for the administration and regulation of water and wastewater rates, services, and certificates of convenience and necessity.

The proposed rulemaking is not expected to result in fiscal implications for businesses or individuals.

### **Local Employment Impact Statement**

The commission reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that a Local Employment Impact Statement is not required because the proposed rules do not adversely affect a local economy in a material way for the first five years that the proposed rules are in effect.

### **Rural Community Impact Statement**

The commission reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that the proposed rules do not adversely affect rural communities in a material way for the first five years that the proposed rules are in effect. The amendments would apply statewide and have the same effect in rural communities as in urban communities.

### **Small Business and Micro-Business Assessment**

No adverse fiscal implications are anticipated for small or micro-businesses due to the implementation or administration of the proposed rules for the first five-year period the proposed rules are in effect. The proposed rulemaking amends current rules to reflect the transfer of the regulation of water and wastewater rates, services, and certificates of convenience and necessity to the PUC.

### **Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Analysis**

The commission reviewed this proposed rulemaking and determined that a Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is not required because the proposed rules do not adversely affect a small or micro-business in a material way for the first five years the proposed rules are in effect.

### **Government Growth Impact Statement**

The commission prepared a Government Growth Impact Statement assessment for this proposed rulemaking. The proposed rulemaking does not create or eliminate a government program; will not require an increase or decrease in future legislative appropriations to the agency; require the creation of new employee positions nor eliminate current employee positions; nor will it require an increase or decrease in fees paid to the agency. The proposed rulemaking does not create, expand, or limit an existing regulation. The proposed rulemaking amends TCEQ's rules for a program transferred to the PUC. During the first five years the proposed rules are in effect, the proposed rules should not impact positively or negatively the state's economy.

### **Draft Regulatory Impact Analysis Determination**

The commission reviewed the proposed rulemaking in light of the regulatory analysis requirements of Texas Government Code, §2001.0225 and determined that the rulemaking is not subject to Texas Government Code, §2001.0225. Texas Government Code, §2001.0225 applies to a "Major environmental rule" which is defined in Texas Government Code, §2001.0225(g)(3) as a rule with a specific intent "to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure and that may adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state."

First, the proposed rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "Major environmental rule" because its specific intent is not to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure. The PUC Sunset Legislation, HB 1600 and SB 567, transferred from the TCEQ to the PUC the functions relating to the economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities. The specific intent of the proposed rulemaking is to amend TCEQ rules in Chapter 293 relating to the economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities. Therefore, the intent is not to protect the environment or reduce risks to human health from environmental exposure, but instead to amend rules relating to economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities as those functions were transferred to the PUC.

Second, the proposed rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "Major

environmental rule" because the proposed rules would not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, or the public health and safety of the state or a sector of the state. It is not anticipated that the cost of complying with the proposed rules will be significant with respect to the economy as a whole or with respect to a sector of the economy; therefore, the proposed amendments will not adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, competition, or jobs.

Finally, the proposed rulemaking does not meet any of the four applicability requirements for a "Major environmental rule" listed in Texas Government Code, §2001.0225(a). Texas Government Code, §2001.0225 only applies to a major environmental rule, the result of which is to: 1) exceed a standard set by federal law, unless the rule is specifically required by state law; 2) exceed an express requirement of state law, unless the rule is specifically required by federal law; 3) exceed a requirement of a delegation agreement or contract between the state and an agency or representative of the federal government to implement a state and federal program; or 4) adopt a rule solely under the general powers of the agency instead of under a specific state law. This proposed rulemaking does not meet any of the four preceding applicability requirements because this rulemaking: 1) does not exceed any standard set by federal law for the economic regulation of water or wastewater utilities; 2) does not exceed any express requirements of Texas Water Code, Chapter 11, 12, or 13, which relate to the economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities; 3) does not exceed a requirement of a delegation agreement or contract between the state and an agency or representative of

the federal government to implement a state and federal program; and 4) is not proposed solely under the general powers of the agency.

Since this proposed rulemaking does not meet the statutory definition of a "Major environmental rule" nor does it meet any of the four applicability requirements for a "Major environmental rule" this rulemaking is not subject to Texas Government Code, §2001.0225.

Written comments on the Draft Regulatory Impact Analysis Determination may be submitted to the contact person at the address listed under the Submittal of Comments section of this preamble.

### **Takings Impact Assessment**

The commission evaluated this proposed rulemaking and performed a preliminary assessment of whether these proposed rules constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007.

The commission proposes this rulemaking for the purpose of amending TCEQ rules in Chapter 293 relating to the economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities as those functions have transferred from the TCEQ to the PUC.

The commission's analysis indicates that Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007, does not apply to these proposed rules based upon an exception to applicability in Texas



Government Code, §2007.003(b)(5). The proposed rulemaking is a discontinuance of the economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities within the TCEQ, which provides a unilateral expectation that does not rise to the level of a recognized interest in private real property. Because the proposed rulemaking falls within an exception under Texas Government Code, §2007.003(b)(5), Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007 does not apply to this proposed rulemaking.

Further, the commission determined that promulgation of these proposed rules would be neither a statutory nor a constitutional taking of private real property. Specifically, there are no burdens imposed on private real property under the rule because the proposed rules neither relate to, nor have any impact on, the use or enjoyment of private real property, and there would be no reduction in property value as a result of these rules. This rulemaking is required due to the transfer of functions relating to the economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities from the TCEQ to the PUC pursuant to HB 1600 and SB 567. The specific intent of the proposed rulemaking is to amend by removing obsolete references and language relating to the economic regulation of water and wastewater utilities. Therefore, the proposed rules would not constitute a taking under Texas Government Code, Chapter 2007.

### **Consistency with the Coastal Management Program**

The commission reviewed the proposed rulemaking and found the proposal is a rulemaking identified in the Coastal Coordination Act implementation rules, 31 TAC §505.11(b)(4) relating to rules subject to the Coastal Management Program, and will,

therefore, require that goals and policies of the Texas Coastal Management Program (CMP) be considered during the rulemaking process.

The commission reviewed this rulemaking for consistency with the CMP goals and policies in accordance with the regulations of the Coastal Coordination Advisory Committee and determined that the rulemaking is procedural in nature and will have no substantive effect on commission actions subject to the CMP and is, therefore, consistent with CMP goals and policies.

Written comments on the consistency of this rulemaking may be submitted to the contact person at the address listed under the Submittal of Comments section of this preamble.

### **Announcement of Hearing**

The commission will hold a public hearing on this proposal in Austin on August 7, 2018, at 2:00 p.m. in Building E, Room 201S, at the commission's central office located at 12100 Park 35 Circle. The hearing is structured for the receipt of oral or written comments by interested persons. Individuals may present oral statements when called upon in order of registration. Open discussion will not be permitted during the hearing; however, commission staff members will be available to discuss the proposal 30 minutes prior to the hearing.

Persons who have special communication or other accommodation needs who are planning to attend the hearing should contact Sandy Wong, Office of Legal Services at

(512) 239-1802 or 1-800-RELAY-TX (TDD). Requests should be made as far in advance as possible.

### **Submittal of Comments**

Written comments may be submitted to Ms. Kris Hogan, MC 205, Office of Legal Services, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, P.O. Box 13087, Austin, Texas 78711-3087, or faxed to (512) 239-4808. Electronic comments may be submitted at:

<https://www6.tceq.texas.gov/rules/ecomments/>. File size restrictions may apply to comments being submitted via the eComments system. All comments should reference Rule Project Number 2013-057-291-OW. The comment period closes on August 13, 2018. Copies of the proposed rulemaking can be obtained from the commission's website at [https://www.tceq.texas.gov/rules/propose\\_adopt.html](https://www.tceq.texas.gov/rules/propose_adopt.html). For further information, please contact Brian Dickey, Water Supply Division, Plan and Technical Review Section at (512) 239-0963.

## **SUBCHAPTER B: CREATION OF WATER DISTRICTS**

### **§293.11**

#### **Statutory Authority**

The amendment is proposed under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.102, concerning General Powers, which provides the commission with the general powers to carry out its duties under the TWC; and TWC, §5.103, concerning Rules, which provides the commission with the authority to adopt any rules necessary to carry out its powers and duties under the provisions of the TWC and other laws of this state.

The proposed amendment implements House Bill 1600 and Senate Bill 567 passed by the 83rd Texas Legislature, 2013.

#### **§293.11. Information Required to Accompany Applications for Creation of Districts.**

(a) Creation applications for all types of districts, excluding groundwater conservation districts, shall contain the following:

(1) \$700 nonrefundable application fee;

(2) if a proposed district's purpose is to supply fresh water for domestic or commercial use or to provide wastewater services, roadways, or drainage, a certified copy of the action of the governing body of any municipality in whose extraterritorial

jurisdiction the proposed district is located, consenting to the creation of the proposed district, under Texas Local Government Code, §42.042. If the governing body of any such municipality fails or refuses to grant consent, the petitioners must show that the provisions of Texas Local Government Code, §42.042, have been followed;

(3) if city consent was obtained under paragraph (2) of this subsection, provide the following:

(A) evidence that the application conforms substantially to the city consent; provided, however, that nothing herein shall prevent the commission from creating a district with less land than included in the city consent;

(B) evidence that the city consent does not place any conditions or restrictions on a district other than those permitted by Texas Water Code (TWC), §54.016(e) and (i);

(4) a statement by the appropriate secretary or clerk that a copy of the petition for creation of the proposed district was received by any city in whose corporate limits any part of the proposed district is located;

(5) evidence of submitting a creation petition and report to the appropriate commission regional office;

(6) if substantial development is proposed, a market study and a developer's financial statement;

(7) if the petitioner is a corporation, trust, partnership, or joint venture, a certificate of corporate authorization to sign the petition, a certificate of the trustee's authorization to sign the petition, a copy of the partnership agreement or a copy of the joint venture agreement, as appropriate, to evidence that the person signing the petition is authorized to sign the petition on behalf of the corporation, trust, partnership, or joint venture;

(8) a vicinity map;

(9) unless waived by the executive director, for districts where substantial development is proposed, a certification by the petitioning landowners that those lienholders who signed the petition or a separate document consenting to the petition, or who were notified by certified mail, are the only persons holding liens on the land described in the petition;

(10) if the petitioner anticipates recreational facilities being an intended purpose, a detailed summary of the proposed recreational facility projects, projects' estimated costs, and proposed financing methods for the projects as part of the preliminary engineering report; and

(11) other related information as required by the executive director.

(b) Creation application requirements and procedures for TWC, Chapter 36, Groundwater Conservation Districts, are provided in Subchapter C of this chapter (relating to Special Requirements for Groundwater Conservation Districts).

(c) Creation applications for TWC, Chapter 51, Water Control and Improvement Districts, within two or more counties shall contain items listed in subsection (a) of this section and the following:

(1) a petition as required by TWC, §51.013, requesting creation signed by the majority of persons holding title to land representing a total value of more than 50% of value of all land in the proposed district as indicated by tax rolls of the central appraisal district, or if there are more than 50 persons holding title to land in the proposed district, the petition can be signed by 50 of them. The petition shall include the following:

(A) name of district;

(B) area and boundaries of district;

(C) constitutional authority;

(D) purpose(s) of district;

(E) statement of the general nature of work and necessity and feasibility of project with reasonable detail; and

(F) statement of estimated cost of project;

(2) evidence that the petition was filed with the office of the county clerk of the county(ies) in which the district or portions of the district are located;

(3) a map showing the district boundaries, metes and bounds, area, physical culture, and computation sheet for survey closure;

(4) a preliminary plan (22 - 24 inches by 36 inches or digital data in electronic format) showing the location of existing facilities including highways, roads, and other improvements, together with the location of proposed utility mains and sizing, general drainage patterns, principal drainage ditches and structures, utility plant sites, recreational areas, commercial and school sites, areas within the 100-year flood plain and 100-year floodway, and any other information pertinent to the project including an inventory of any existing water, wastewater, or drainage facilities;

(5) a preliminary engineering report including the following as applicable:



(A) a description of existing area, conditions, topography, and proposed improvements;

(B) land use plan;

(C) 100-year flood computations or source of information;

(D) existing and projected populations;

(E) tentative itemized cost estimates of the proposed capital improvements and itemized cost summary for anticipated bond issue requirement;

(F) projected tax rate and water and wastewater rates;

(G) an investigation and evaluation of the availability of comparable service from other systems including, but not limited to, water districts, municipalities, and regional authorities;

(H) an evaluation of the effect the district and its systems and subsequent development within the district will have on the following:

(i) land elevation;

(ii) subsidence;

(iii) groundwater level within the region;

(iv) recharge capability of a groundwater source;

(v) natural run-off rates and drainage; and

(vi) water quality;

(I) a table summarizing overlapping taxing entities and the most recent tax rates by those entities; and

(J) complete justification for creation of the district supported by evidence that the project is feasible, practicable, necessary, will benefit all of the land and residents to be included in the district, and will further the public welfare;

(6) a certificate by the central appraisal district indicating the owners and tax valuation of land within the proposed district as reflected on the county tax rolls as of the date of the petition or any amended petition. If the tax rolls do not show the petitioner(s) to be the owners of the majority of value of the land within the proposed district, then the petitioner(s) shall submit to the executive director a certified copy of the deed(s) tracing title from the person(s) listed on the central appraisal district certificate

as owners of the land to the petitioner(s) and any additional information required by the executive director necessary to show accurately the ownership of the land to be included in the district;

(7) affidavits by those persons desiring appointment by the commission as temporary or initial directors, showing compliance with applicable statutory requirements of qualifications and eligibility for temporary or initial directors, in accordance with TWC, §49.052 and §51.072;

(8) if the application includes a request for approval of a fire plan, information meeting the requirements of §293.123 of this title (relating to Application Requirements for Fire Department Plan Approval), except for a certified copy of a district board resolution, references to a district board having adopted a plan, and the additional \$100 filing fee; and

(9) other information as required by the executive director.

(d) Creation applications for TWC, Chapter 54, Municipal Utility Districts, shall contain items listed in subsection (a) of this section and the following:

(1) a petition containing the matters required by TWC, §54.014 and §54.015, signed by persons holding title to land representing a total value of more than 50% of the value of all land in the proposed district as indicated by tax rolls of the central appraisal

district. If there are more than 50 persons holding title to land in the proposed district, the petition can be signed by 50 of them. The petition shall include the following:

(A) name of district;

(B) area and boundaries of district described by metes and bounds or lot and block number, if there is a recorded map or plat and survey of the area;

(C) necessity for the work;

(D) statement of the general nature of work proposed; and

(E) statement of estimated cost of project;

(2) evidence that the petition was filed with the office of the county clerk of the county(ies) in which the district or portions of the district are located;

(3) a map showing the district boundaries in metes and bounds, area, physical culture, and computation sheet for survey closure;

(4) a preliminary plan (22 - 24 inches by 36 inches or digital data in electronic format) showing the location of existing facilities including highways, roads, and other improvements, together with the location of proposed utility mains and sizing,

general drainage patterns, principal drainage ditches and structures, utility plant sites, recreational areas, commercial and school sites, areas within the 100-year flood plain and 100-year floodway, and any other information pertinent to the project including an inventory of any existing water, wastewater, or drainage facilities;

(5) a preliminary engineering report including as appropriate:

(A) a description of existing area, conditions, topography, and proposed improvements;

(B) land use plan;

(C) 100-year flood computations or source of information;

(D) existing and projected populations;

(E) tentative itemized cost estimates of the proposed capital improvements and itemized cost summary for anticipated bond issue requirement;

(F) projected tax rate and water and wastewater rates;

(G) an investigation and evaluation of the availability of comparable service from other systems including, but not limited to, water districts, municipalities, and regional authorities;

(H) an evaluation of the effect the district and its systems and subsequent development within the district will have on the following:

(i) land elevation;

(ii) subsidence;

(iii) groundwater level within the region;

(iv) recharge capability of a groundwater source;

(v) natural run-off rates and drainage; and

(vi) water quality;

(I) a table summarizing overlapping taxing entities and the most recent tax rates by those entities; and

(J) complete justification for creation of the district supported by evidence that the project is feasible, practicable, necessary, and will benefit all of the land to be included in the district;

(6) a certificate by the central appraisal district indicating the owners and tax valuation of land within the proposed district as reflected on the county tax rolls as of the date of the petition. If the tax rolls do not show the petitioner(s) to be the owners of the majority of value of the land within the proposed district, then the petitioner(s) shall submit to the executive director a certified copy of the deed(s) tracing title from the person(s) listed on the central appraisal district certificate as owners of the land to the petitioner(s) and any additional information required by the executive director necessary to show accurately the ownership of the land to be included in the district;

(7) a certified copy of the action of the governing body of any municipality in whose corporate limits or extraterritorial jurisdiction that the proposed district is located, consenting to the creation of the proposed district under TWC, §54.016. For districts to be located in the extraterritorial jurisdiction of any municipality, if the governing body of any such municipality fails or refuses to grant consent, the petitioners must show that the provisions of TWC, §54.016 have been followed;

(8) for districts proposed to be created within the corporate boundaries of a municipality, evidence that the city will rebate to the district an equitable portion of city taxes to be derived from the residents of the area proposed to be included in the district

if such taxes are used by the city to finance elsewhere in the city services of the type the district proposes to provide. If like services are not to be provided, then an agreement regarding a rebate of city taxes is not necessary. Nothing in this subsection is intended to restrict the contracting authorization provided in Texas Local Government Code, §402.014;

(9) affidavits by those persons desiring appointment by the commission as temporary directors, showing compliance with applicable statutory requirements of qualifications and eligibility for temporary directors, in accordance with TWC, §49.052 and §54.102;

(10) if the application includes a request for approval of a fire plan, information meeting the requirements of §293.123 of this title, except for a certified copy of a district board resolution, references to a district board having adopted a plan, and the additional \$100 filing fee;

(11) if the petition within the application includes a request for road powers, information meeting the requirements of §293.202(b) of this title (relating to Application Requirements for Commission Approval); and

(12) other data and information as the executive director may require.



(e) Creation applications for TWC, Chapter 55, Water Improvement Districts, within two or more counties shall contain items listed in subsection (a) of this section and the following:

(1) a petition containing the matters required by TWC, §55.040, signed by persons holding title to more than 50% of all land in the proposed district as indicated by county tax rolls, or by 50 qualified property taxpaying electors. The petition shall include the following:

(A) name of district; and

(B) area and boundaries of district;

(2) a map showing the district boundaries in metes and bounds, area, physical culture, and computation sheet for survey closure;

(3) a preliminary plan (22 - 24 inches by 36 inches or digital data in electronic format) showing the location of existing facilities including highways, roads, and other improvements, together with the location of proposed utility mains and sizing, general drainage patterns, principal drainage ditches and structures, utility plant sites, recreational areas, commercial and school sites, areas within the 100-year flood plain and 100-year floodway, and any other information pertinent to the project including an inventory of any existing water, wastewater, or drainage facilities;

(4) a preliminary engineering report including as appropriate:

(A) a description of existing area, conditions, topography, and proposed improvements;

(B) land use plan;

(C) 100-year flood computations or source of information;

(D) existing and projected populations;

(E) tentative itemized cost estimates of the proposed capital improvements and itemized cost summary for anticipated bond issue requirement;

(F) projected tax rate and water and wastewater rates;

(G) an investigation and evaluation of the availability of comparable service from other systems including, but not limited to, water districts, municipalities, and regional authorities;

(H) an evaluation of the effect the district and its systems and subsequent development within the district will have on the following:

(i) land elevation;

(ii) subsidence;

(iii) groundwater level within the region;

(iv) recharge capability of a groundwater source;

(v) natural run-off rates and drainage; and

(vi) water quality;

(I) a table summarizing overlapping taxing entities and the most recent tax rates by those entities; and

(J) complete justification for creation of the district supported by evidence that the project is practicable, would be a public utility, and would serve a beneficial purpose;

(5) a certificate by the central appraisal district indicating the owners and tax valuation of land within the proposed district as reflected on the county tax rolls as of the date of the petition. If the tax rolls do not show the petitioner(s) to be the owners of the majority of the land within the proposed district, then the petitioner(s) shall

submit to the executive director a certified copy of the deed(s) tracing title from the person(s) listed on the central appraisal district certificate as owners of the land to the petitioner(s) and any additional information required by the executive director necessary to show accurately the ownership of the land to be included in the district;

(6) if the application includes a request for approval of a fire plan, information meeting the requirements of §293.123 of this title, except for a certified copy of a district board resolution, references to a district board having adopted a plan, and the additional \$100 filing fee; and

(7) other data and information as the executive director may require.

(f) Creation applications for TWC, Chapter 58, Irrigation Districts, within two or more counties, shall contain items listed in subsection (a) of this section and the following:

(1) a petition containing the matters required by TWC, §58.013 and §58.014, signed by persons holding title to land representing a total value of more than 50% of the value of all land in the proposed district as indicated by county tax rolls, or if there are more than 50 persons holding title to land in the proposed district, the petition can be signed by 50 of them. The petition shall include the following:

(A) name of district;

(B) area and boundaries;

(C) provision of the Texas Constitution under which district will be organized;

(D) purpose(s) of district;

(E) statement of the general nature of the work to be done and the necessity, feasibility, and utility of the project, with reasonable detail; and

(F) statement of the estimated costs of the project;

(2) evidence that the petition was filed with the office of the county clerk of the county(ies) in which the district or portions of the district are located;

(3) a map showing the district boundaries in metes and bounds, area, physical culture, and computation sheet for survey closure;

(4) a preliminary plan (22 - 24 inches by 36 inches or digital data in electronic format) showing as applicable the location of existing facilities including highways, roads, and other improvements, together with the location of proposed irrigation facilities, general drainage patterns, principal drainage ditches and structures,

sites, areas within the 100-year flood plain and 100-year floodway, and any other information pertinent to the project;

(5) a preliminary engineering report including the following as applicable:

(A) a description of existing area, conditions, topography, and proposed improvements;

(B) land use plan, including a table showing irrigable and non-irrigable acreage;

(C) copies of any agreements, meeting minutes, contracts, or permits executed or in draft form with other entities including, but not limited to, federal, state, or local entities or governments or persons;

(D) tentative itemized cost estimates of the proposed capital improvements and itemized cost summary for anticipated bond issue requirement;

(E) proposed budget including projected tax rate and/or fee schedule and rates;

(F) an investigation and evaluation of the availability of comparable service from other systems including, but not limited to, water districts, municipalities, and regional authorities;

(G) an evaluation of the effect the district and its systems will have on the following:

(i) land elevation;

(ii) subsidence;

(iii) groundwater level within the region;

(iv) recharge capability of a groundwater source;

(v) natural run-off rates and drainage; and

(vi) water quality;

(H) a table summarizing overlapping taxing entities and the most recent tax rates by those entities; and

(l) complete justification for creation of the district supported by evidence that the project is feasible, practicable, necessary, and will benefit all of the land and residents to be included in the district and will further the public welfare;

(6) a certificate by the central appraisal district indicating the owners and tax valuation of land within the proposed district as reflected on the county tax rolls as of the date of the petition or any amended petition. If the tax rolls do not show the petitioner(s) to be the owners of the majority of value of the land within the proposed district, then the petitioner(s) shall submit to the executive director a certified copy of the deed(s) tracing title from the person(s) listed on the central appraisal district certificate as owners of the land to the petitioner(s) and any additional information required by the executive director necessary to show accurately the ownership of the land to be included in the district;

(7) affidavits by those persons desiring appointment by the commission as temporary or initial directors, showing compliance with applicable statutory requirements of qualifications and eligibility for temporary or initial directors, in accordance with TWC, §58.072; and

(8) other data as the executive director may require.

(g) Creation applications for TWC, Chapter 59, Regional Districts, shall contain items listed in subsection (a) of this section and the following:



(1) a petition, as required by TWC, §59.003, signed by the owner or owners of 2,000 contiguous acres or more; or by the county commissioners court of one, or more than one, county; or by any city whose boundaries or extraterritorial jurisdiction the proposed district lies within; or by 20% of the municipal districts to be included in the district. The petition shall contain:

(A) a description of the boundaries by metes and bounds or lot and block number, if there is a recorded map or plat and survey of the area;

(B) a statement of the general work, and necessity of the work;

(C) estimated costs of the work;

(D) name of the petitioner(s);

(E) name of the proposed district; and

(F) if submitted by at least 20% of the municipal districts to be included in the regional district, such petition shall also include:

(i) a description of the territory to be included in the proposed district; and

(ii) endorsing resolutions from all municipal districts to be included;

(2) evidence that a copy of the petition was filed with the city clerk in each city where the proposed district's boundaries cover in whole or part;

(3) if land in the corporate limits or extraterritorial jurisdiction of a city is proposed, documentation of city consent or documentation of having followed the process outlined in TWC, §59.006;

(4) a preliminary engineering report including as appropriate:

(A) a description of existing area, conditions, topography, and proposed improvements;

(B) land use plan;

(C) 100-year flood computations or source of information;

(D) existing and projected populations;

(E) tentative itemized cost estimates of the proposed capital improvements and itemized cost summary for anticipated bond issue requirement;

(F) projected tax rate and water and wastewater rates; and

(G) an investigation and evaluation of the availability of comparable service from other systems including, but not limited to, water districts, municipalities, and regional authorities;

(5) affidavits by those persons desiring appointment by the commission as temporary or initial directors, showing compliance with applicable statutory requirements of qualifications and eligibility for temporary or initial directors, as required by TWC, §49.052 and §59.021;

(6) if the application includes a request for approval of a fire plan, information meeting the requirements of §293.123 of this title, except for a certified copy of a district board resolution, references to a district board having adopted a plan, and the additional \$100 filing fee; and

(7) other information as the executive director may require.

(h) Creation applications for TWC, Chapter 65, Special Utility Districts, shall contain items listed in subsection (a) of this section and the following:

(1) a certified copy of the resolution requesting creation, as required by TWC, §65.014 and §65.015, signed by the president and secretary of the board of

directors of the water supply or sewer service corporation, and stating that the corporation, acting through its board of directors, has found that it is necessary and desirable for the corporation to be converted into a district. The resolution shall include the following:

(A) a description of the boundaries of the proposed district by metes and bounds or by lot and block number, if there is a recorded map or plat and survey of the area, or by any other commonly recognized means in a certificate attached to the resolution executed by a licensed engineer;

(B) a statement regarding the general nature of the services presently performed and proposed to be provided, and the necessity for the services;

(C) name of the district;

(D) the names of not less than five and not more than 11 qualified persons to serve as the initial board;

(E) a request specifying each purpose for which the proposed district is being created; and

(F) if the proposed district also seeks approval of an impact fee, a request for approval of an impact fee and the amount of the requested fee;

(2) the legal description accompanying the resolution requesting conversion of a water supply or sewer service corporation, as defined in TWC, §65.001(10), to a special utility district that conforms to the legal description of the service area of the corporation as such service area appears in the certificate of public convenience and necessity held by the corporation. Any area of the corporation that overlaps another entity's certificate of convenience and necessity must be excluded unless the other entity consents in writing to the inclusion of its dually certified area in the district;

(3) a plat showing boundaries of the proposed district as described in the petition;

(4) a preliminary plan (22 - 24 inches by 36 inches or digital data in electronic format) showing the location of existing facilities including highways, roads, and other improvements, together with the location of proposed utility mains and sizing, general drainage patterns, principal drainage ditches and structures, utility plant sites, recreational areas, commercial and school sites, areas within the 100-year flood plain and 100-year floodway, and any other information pertinent to the project including an inventory of any existing water or wastewater facilities;

(5) a preliminary engineering report including the following information unless previously provided to the commission:

(A) a description of existing area, conditions, topography, and any proposed improvements;

(B) existing and projected populations;

(C) for proposed system expansion:

(i) tentative itemized cost estimates of any proposed capital improvements and itemized cost summary for any anticipated bond issue requirement;

(ii) an investigation and evaluation of the availability of comparable service from other systems including, but not limited to, water districts, municipalities, and regional authorities;

(D) water and wastewater rates;

(E) projected water and wastewater rates;

(F) an evaluation of the effect the district and its system and subsequent development within the district will have on the following:

(i) land elevation;

(ii) subsidence;

(iii) groundwater level within the region;

(iv) recharge capability of a groundwater source;

(v) natural run-off rates and drainage; and

(vi) water quality; and

(G) complete justification for creation of the district supported by evidence that the project is feasible, practicable, necessary, and will benefit all of the land to be included in the district;

(6) a certified copy of a certificate of convenience and necessity held by the water supply or sewer service corporation applying for conversion to a special utility district;

(7) a certified copy of the most recent financial report prepared by the water supply or sewer service corporation;

(8) if requesting approval of an existing capital recovery fee or impact fee, supporting calculations and required documentation regarding such fee;

(9) certified copy of resolution and an order canvassing election results, adopted by the water supply or sewer service corporation, which shows:

(A) an affirmative vote of a majority of the membership to authorize conversion to a special utility district operating under TWC, Chapter 65; and

(B) a vote by the membership in accordance with the requirements of TWC, Chapter 67, and the Texas Non-Profit Corporation Act, Texas Civil Statutes, Articles 1396-1.01 to 1396-11.01, to dissolve the water supply or sewer service corporation at such time as creation of the special utility district is approved by the commission and convey all the assets and debts of the corporation to the special utility district upon dissolution;

(10) affidavits by those persons named in the resolution for appointment by the commission as initial directors, showing compliance with applicable statutory requirements of qualifications and eligibility for temporary or initial directors, in accordance with TWC, §49.052 and §65.102, where applicable;

[(11) affidavits indicating that the transfer of the assets and the certificate of convenience and necessity has been properly noticed to the executive director and customers in accordance with §291.109 of this title (relating to Report of Sale, Merger, Etc.; Investigation; Disallowance of Transaction) and §291.112 of this title (relating to Transfer of Certificate of Convenience and Necessity);]



(11) [(12)] if the application includes a request for approval of a fire plan, information meeting the requirements of §293.123 of this title, except for a certified copy of a district board resolution, references to a district board having adopted a plan, and the additional \$100 filing fee; and

(12) [(13)] other information as the executive director requires.

(i) Creation applications for TWC, Chapter 66, Stormwater Control Districts, shall contain items listed in subsection (a) or this section and the following:

(1) a petition as required by TWC, §§66.014 - 66.016, requesting creation of a storm water control district signed by at least 50 persons who reside within the boundaries of the proposed district or signed by a majority of the members of the county commissioners court in each county or counties in which the district is proposed. The petition shall include the following:

(A) a boundary description by metes and bounds or lot and block number if there is a recorded map or plat and survey;

(B) a statement of the general nature of the work proposed and an estimated cost of the work proposed; and

(C) the proposed name of the district;

(2) a map showing the district boundaries in metes and bounds, area, physical culture, and computation sheet for survey closure;

(3) a preliminary engineering report including:

(A) a description of the existing area, conditions, topography, and proposed improvements;

(B) preliminary itemized cost estimate for the proposed improvements and associated plans for financing such improvements;

(C) a listing of other entities capable of providing same or similar services and reasons why those are unable to provide such services;

(D) copies of any agreements, meeting minutes, contracts, or permits executed or in draft form with other entities including, but not limited to, federal, state, or local entities or governments or persons;

(E) an evaluation of the effect the district and its projects will have on the following:

(i) land elevations;

(ii) subsidence/groundwater level and recharge;

(iii) natural run-off rates and drainage; and

(iv) water quality;

(F) a table summarizing overlapping taxing entities and the most recent tax rates by those entities; and

(G) complete justification for creation of the district supported by evidence that the project is feasible, practical, necessary, and will benefit all the land to be included in the district;

(4) affidavits by those persons desiring appointment by the commission as temporary or initial directors, showing compliance with applicable statutory requirements of qualifications and eligibility for temporary or initial directors, in accordance with TWC, §49.052 and §66.102, where applicable; and

(5) other data as the executive director may require.

(j) Creation applications for Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 375, Municipal Management Districts in General, shall contain the items listed in subsection (a) of this section and the following:

(1) a petition requesting creation signed by owners of a majority of the assessed value of real property in the proposed district, or 50 persons who own property in the proposed district, if more than 50 people own real property in the proposed district. The petition shall include the following:

(A) a boundary description by metes and bounds, by verifiable landmarks, including a road, creek, or railroad line, or by lot and block number if there is a recorded map or plat and survey;

(B) purpose(s) for which district is being created;

(C) general nature of the work, projects or services proposed to be provided, the necessity for those services, and an estimate of the costs associated with such;

(D) name of proposed district, which must be generally descriptive of the location of the district, followed by "Management District" or "Improvement District;"[;]

(E) list of proposed initial directors and experience and term of each;  
and

(F) a resolution of municipality in support of creation, if inside a city;

(2) a preliminary plan or report providing sufficient details on the purpose and projects of district as allowed in Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 375, including budget, statement of expenses, revenues, and sources of such revenues;

(3) a certificate by the central appraisal district indicating the owners and tax valuation of land within the proposed district as reflected on the county tax rolls as of the date of the petition or any amended petition. If the tax rolls do not show the petitioner(s) to be the owners of the majority of value of the land within the proposed district, then the petitioner(s) shall submit to the executive director a certified copy of the deed(s) tracing title from the person(s) listed on the central appraisal district certificate as owners of the land to the petitioner(s) and any additional information required by the executive director necessary to show accurately the ownership of the land to be included in the district;

(4) affidavits by those persons desiring appointment by the commission as initial directors, showing compliance with applicable statutory requirements of qualifications and eligibility for initial directors, in accordance with Texas Local Government Code, §375.063; and

(5) if the application includes a request for approval of a fire plan, information meeting the requirements of §293.123 of this title, except for a certified copy of a district board resolution, references to a district board having adopted a plan, and the additional \$100 filing fee.

## **SUBCHAPTER E: ISSUANCE OF BONDS**

### **§293.44**

#### **Statutory Authority**

The amendment is proposed under Texas Water Code (TWC), §5.102, concerning General Powers, which provides the commission with the general powers to carry out its duties under the TWC; and TWC, §5.103, concerning Rules, which provides the commission with the authority to adopt any rules necessary to carry out its powers and duties under the provisions of the TWC and other laws of this state.

The proposed amendment implements House Bill 1600 and Senate Bill 567 passed by the 83rd Texas Legislature, 2013.

#### **§293.44. Special Considerations.**

(a) Developer projects. The following provisions shall apply unless the commission, in its discretion, determines that application to a particular situation renders an inequitable result.

(1) A developer project is a district project that provides water, wastewater, drainage, or recreational facility service for property owned by a developer of property in the district, as defined by Texas Water Code (TWC), §49.052(d).

(2) Except as permitted under paragraph (8) of this subsection, the costs of joint facilities that benefit the district and others should be shared on the basis of benefits received. Generally, the benefits are the design capacities in the joint facilities for each participant. Proposed cost sharing for conveyance facilities should account for both flow and inflow locations.

(3) The cost of clearing and grubbing of district facilities' easements that will also be used for other facilities that are not eligible for district expenditures, such as roads, gas lines, telephone lines, etc., should be shared equally by the district and the developer, except where unusually wide road or street rights-of-way or other unusual circumstances are present, as determined by the commission. The district's share of such costs is further subject to any required developer contribution under §293.47 of this title (relating to Thirty Percent of District Construction Costs to be Paid by Developer). The applicability of the competitive bidding statutes and/or regulations for clearing and grubbing contracts let and awarded in the developer's name shall not apply when the amount of the estimated district share, including any required developer contribution does not exceed 50% of the total construction contract costs.

(4) A district may finance the cost of spreading and compacting of fill in areas that require the fill for development purposes, such as in abandoned ditches or floodplain areas, only to the extent necessary to dispose of the spoil material (fill) generated by other projects of the district.

(5) The cost of any clearing and grubbing in areas where fill is to be placed should not be paid by the district, unless the district can demonstrate a net savings in the costs of disposal of excavated materials when compared to the estimated costs of disposal off site.

(6) When a developer changes the plan of development requiring the abandonment or relocation of existing facilities, the district may pay the cost of either the abandoned facilities or the cost of replacement facilities, but not both.

(7) When a developer changes the plan of development requiring the redesign of facilities that have been designed, but not constructed, the district may pay the cost of the original design or the cost of the redesign, but not both.

(8) A district shall not finance the pro rata share of oversized water, wastewater, or drainage facilities to serve areas outside the district unless:

(A) such oversizing:

(i) is required by or represents the minimum approvable design sizes prescribed by local governments or other regulatory agencies for such applications;



(ii) does not benefit out-of-district land owned by the developer;

(iii) does not benefit out-of-district land currently being developed by others; and

(iv) the district agrees to use its best efforts to recover such costs if a future user outside the district desires to use such capacity; or

(B) the district has entered into an agreement with the party being served by such oversized capacity that provides adequate payment to the district to pay the cost of financing, operating, and maintaining such oversized capacity; or

(C) the district has entered into an agreement with the party to be served or benefitted in the future by such oversized capacity, which provides for contemporaneous payment by such future user of the incremental increase in construction and engineering costs attributable to such oversizing and which, until the costs of financing, construction, operation, and maintenance of such oversized facilities are prorated according to paragraph (2) of this subsection, provides that:

(i) the capacity or usage rights of such future user shall be restricted to the design flow or capacity of such oversized facilities multiplied by the

fractional engineering and construction costs contemporaneously paid by such future user; and

(ii) such future user shall pay directly allocable operation and maintenance costs proportionate to such restricted capacity or usage rights; or

(D) the district or a developer in the district has entered into an agreement with a municipality or regional water or wastewater provider regarding the oversized facilities and such oversizing is more cost-effective than alternative facilities to serve the district only. For the purposes of this subparagraph, regional water or wastewater provider means a provider that serves land in more than one county. An applicant requesting approval under this subparagraph must provide:

(i) bid documents or an engineer's sealed estimate of probable costs of alternatives that meet minimum acceptable standards based on costs prevailing at the time the facilities were constructed; or

(ii) an engineering feasibility analysis outlining the service alternatives considered at the time the decision to participate in the oversizing was made; or

(iii) any other information requested by the executive director.

(9) Railroad, pipeline, or underground utility relocations that are needed because of road crossings should not be financed by the district; however, if such relocations result from a simultaneous district project and road crossing project, then such relocation costs should be shared equally. The district's share of such costs is further subject to any required developer contribution under §293.47 of this title.

(10) Engineering studies, such as topographic surveys, soil studies, fault studies, boundary surveys, etc., that contain information that will be used both for district purposes and for other purposes, such as roadway design, foundation design, land purchases, etc., should be shared equally by the district and the developer, unless unusual circumstances are present as determined by the commission. The district's share of such costs is further subject to any required developer contribution under §293.47 of this title.

(11) Land planning, zoning, and development planning costs should not be paid by the district, except for conceptual land-use plans required to be filed with a city as a condition for city consent to creation of the district.

(12) The cost of constructing lakes or other facilities that are part of the developer's amenities package should not typically be paid by the district; however, the costs for the portion of an amenity lake considered a recreational facility under paragraph (24) of this subsection may be funded by the district. The cost of combined lake and detention facilities should be shared with the developer on the basis of the

volume attributable to each use, and land costs should be shared on the same basis, unless the district can demonstrate a net savings in the cost of securing fill and construction materials from such lake or detention facilities, when compared to the costs of securing such fill or construction materials off site for another eligible project.

Pursuant to the provisions of TWC, §49.4641, as amended, a district is not required to prorate the costs of a combined lake and detention site between the primary drainage purpose and any secondary recreational facilities purpose if a licensed professional engineer certifies that the site is reasonably sized for the primary drainage purpose.

(13) Bridge and culvert crossings shall be financed in accordance with the following provisions.

(A) The costs of bridge and culvert crossings needed to accommodate the development's road system shall not be financed by a district, unless such crossing consists of one or more culverts with a combined cross-sectional area of not more than nine square feet. The district's share shall be subject to the developer's 30% contribution as may be required by §293.47 of this title.

(B) Districts may fund the costs of bridge and culvert crossings needed to accommodate the development's road system that are larger than those specified in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph, which cross channels other than natural waterways with defined bed and banks and are necessary as a result of required channel improvements subject to the following limitations:

(i) the drainage channel construction or renovation must benefit property within the district's boundaries;

(ii) the costs shall not exceed a pro rata share based on the percent of total drainage area of the channel crossed, measured at the point of crossing, calculated by taking the total cost of such bridge or culvert crossing multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the total drainage area located within the district upstream of the crossing, and the denominator of which is the total drainage area upstream of the crossing; and

(iii) the district shall be responsible for not more than 50% of the pro rata share as calculated under this subsection, subject to the developer's 30% contribution as may be required by §293.47 of this title.

(C) The cost of replacement of existing bridges and culverts not constructed or installed by the developer, or the cost of new bridges and culverts across existing roads not financed or constructed by the developer, may be financed by the district, except that any costs of increasing the traffic-carrying capacity of bridges or culverts shall not be financed by the district.

(14) In evaluating district construction projects, including those described in paragraphs (1) - (12) of this subsection, primary consideration shall be given to engineering feasibility and whether the project has been designed in accordance with

good engineering practices, notwithstanding that other acceptable or less costly engineering alternatives may exist.

(15) Bond issue proceeds will not be used to pay or reimburse consultant fees for the following:

(A) special or investigative reports for projects which, for any reason, have not been constructed and, in all probability, will not be constructed;

(B) fees for bond issue reports for bond issues consisting primarily of developer reimbursables and approved by the commission but which are no longer proposed to be issued;

(C) fees for completed projects which are not and will not be of benefit to the district; or

(D) provided, however, that the limitations shall not apply to regional projects or special or investigative reports necessary to properly evaluate the feasibility of alternative district projects.

(16) Bond funds may be used to finance costs and expenses necessarily incurred in the organization and operation of the district during the creation and construction periods as follows.

(A) Such costs were incurred or projected to incur during creation, and/or construction periods which include periods during which the district is constructing its facilities or there is construction by third parties of aboveground improvements within the district.

(B) Construction periods do not need to be continuous; however, once reimbursement for a specific time period has occurred, expenses for a prior time period are no longer eligible. Payment of expenses during construction periods is limited to five years in any single bond issue.

(C) Any reimbursement to a developer with bond funds is restricted to actual expenses paid by the district during the same five-year period for which application is made in accordance with this subsection.

(D) The district may pay interest on the advances under this paragraph. Section 293.50 of this title (relating to Developer Interest Reimbursement) applies to interest payments for a developer and such payments are subject to a developer reimbursement audit.

(17) In instances where creation costs to be paid from bond proceeds are determined to be excessive, the executive director may request that the developer submit invoices and cancelled checks to determine whether such creation costs were reasonable, customary, and necessary for district creation purposes. Such creation costs shall not

include planning, platting, zoning, other costs prohibited by paragraphs (10) and (14) of this subsection, and other matters not directly related to the district's water, wastewater, and drainage system, even if required for city consent.

(18) The district shall not purchase, pay for, or reimburse the cost of facilities, either completed or incomplete, from which it has not and will not receive benefit, even though such facilities may have been at one time required by a city or other entity having jurisdiction.

(19) The district shall not enter into any binding contracts with a developer that compel the district to become liable for costs above those approved by the commission.

(20) A district shall not purchase more water supply or wastewater treatment capacity than is needed to meet the foreseeable capacity demands of the district, except in circumstances where:

(A) lease payments or capital contributions are required to be made to entities owning or constructing regional water supply or wastewater treatment facilities to serve the district and others;

(B) such purchases or leases are necessary to meet minimum regulatory standards; or



(C) such purchases or leases are justified by considerations of economic or engineering feasibility.

(21) The district may finance those costs, including mitigation, associated with flood plain regulation and wetlands regulation, attributable to the development of water plants, wastewater treatment plants, pump and lift stations, detention/retention facilities, drainage channels, and levees. The district's share shall not be subject to the developer's 30% contribution as may be required by §293.47 of this title.

(22) The district may finance those costs associated with endangered species permits. Such costs shall be shared between the district and the developer with the district's share not to exceed 70% of the total costs, unless unusual circumstances are present as determined by the commission. The district's share shall not be subject to the developer's 30% contribution under §293.47 of this title. For purposes of this paragraph [subsection], "endangered species permit" means a permit or other authorization issued under §7 or §10(a) of the federal Endangered Species Act of 1973, 16 United States Code, §1536 and §1539(a).

(23) The district may finance 100% of those costs associated with federal storm water permits. The district's share shall be subject to the developer's 30% contribution as may be required by §293.47 of this title. For purposes of this paragraph [subsection], "federal storm water permit" means a permit for storm water discharges issued under the federal Clean Water Act, including National Pollutant Discharge

Elimination System permits issued by the United States Environmental Protection Agency and Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits issued by the commission.

(24) The district may finance the portion of an amenity lake project that is considered a recreational facility.

(A) The portion considered a recreational facility must be accessible to all persons within the district and is determined as:

(i) the percentage of shoreline with at least a 30-foot wide buffer between the shoreline and private property; or

(ii) the percentage of the perimeter of a high bank of a combination detention facility and lake with at least a 30-foot wide buffer between the high bank and private property.

(B) The district's share of costs for the portion of an amenity lake project that is considered a recreational facility is not subject to the developer's 30% contribution under §293.47 of this title.

(C) The authority for districts to fund recreational amenity lake costs in accordance with this paragraph does not apply retroactively to projects included in bond issues submitted to the commission prior to the effective date of this paragraph.

(b) All projects.

(1) The purchase price for existing facilities not covered by a preconstruction agreement or otherwise not constructed by a developer in contemplation of resale to the district, or if constructed by a developer in contemplation of resale to the district and the cost of the facilities is not available after demonstrating a good faith effort to locate the cost records should be established by an independent appraisal by a licensed professional engineer hired by the district. The appraised value should reflect the cost of replacement of the facility, less repairs and depreciation, taking into account the age and useful life of the facility and economic and functional obsolescence as evidenced by an on-site inspection.

(2) Contract revenue bonds proposed to be issued by districts for facilities providing water, wastewater, or drainage, under contracts authorized under Texas Local Government Code, §552.014, or other similar statutory authorization, will be approved by the commission only when the city's pro rata share of debt service on such bonds is sufficient to pay for the cost of the water, wastewater, or drainage facilities proposed to serve areas located outside the boundaries of the service area of the issuing district.

(3) When a district proposes to obtain capacity in or acquire facilities for water, wastewater, drainage, or other service from a municipality, district, or other political subdivision, or other utility provider, and proposes to use bond proceeds to compensate the providing entity for the water, wastewater, drainage, or other services on

the basis of a capitalized unit cost, e.g., per connection, per lot, or per acre, the commission will approve the use of bond proceeds for such compensation under the following conditions:

(A) the unit cost is reasonable;

(B) the unit cost approximates the cost to the entity providing the necessary facilities, or the providing entity has adopted a uniform service plan for such water, wastewater, drainage, and other services based on engineering studies of the facilities required; and

(C) the district and the providing entity have entered into a contract that will:

(i) specifically convey either an ownership interest in or a specified contractual capacity or volume of flow into or from the system of the providing entity;

(ii) provide a method to quantify the interest or contractual capacity rights;

(iii) provide that the term for such interest or contractual capacity right is not less than the duration of the maturity schedule of the bonds; and

(iv) contain no provisions that could have the effect of subordinating the conveyed interest or contractual capacity right to a preferential use or right of any other entity.

(4) A district may finance those costs associated with recreational facilities, as defined in §293.1(c) of this title (relating to Objective and Scope of Rules; Meaning of Certain Words) and as detailed in §293.41(e)(2) of this title (relating to Approval of Projects and Issuance of Bonds) for all affected districts that benefit and are available to all persons within the district. A district's financing, whether from tax-supported or revenue debt, of costs associated with recreational facilities is subject to §293.41(e)(1) - (6) of this title and is not subject to the developer's 30% contribution as may be required by §293.47 of this title. The automatic exemption from the developer's 30% requirement provided herein supersedes any conflicting provision in §293.47(d) of this title. In planning for and funding recreational facilities, consideration is to be given to existing and proposed municipal and/or county facilities as required by TWC, §49.465, and to the requirement that bonds supported by ad valorem taxes may not be used to finance recreational facilities, as provided by TWC, §49.464(a), except as allowed in TWC, §49.4645.

(5) The bidding requirements established in TWC, Chapter 49, Subchapter I are not applicable to contracts or services related to a district's use of temporary erosion-control devices or cleaning of silt and debris from streets and storm sewers.

(6) A district's contract for construction work may include economic incentives for early completion of the work or economic disincentives for late completion of the work. The incentive or disincentive must be part of the proposal prepared by each bidder before the bid opening.

(7) A district may utilize proceeds from the sale and issuance of bonds, notes, or other obligations to acquire an interest in a certificate of public convenience and necessity, contractual rights to use capacity in facilities and to acquire facilities, with costs determined in accordance with applicable law such as paragraph (3) of this subsection [and Chapter 291, Subchapter G of this title (relating to Certificates of Convenience and Necessity)].